

Using radio emission to detect isolated and quiescent accreting black holes

Thomas J. Maccarone

Astronomical Institute “Anton Pannekoek”, University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 403, 1098 SJ, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

We discuss the implications of new relations between black holes’ masses, X-ray luminosities and radio luminosities, as well as the properties of the next generation of radio telescopes, for the goal of finding isolated accreting black holes. Because accreting black holes have radio-to-X-ray flux ratios that increase with decreasing luminosity in Eddington units, and because deep surveys over large fields of view should be possible with planned instrumentation such as LOFAR, radio surveys should be significantly more efficient than X-ray surveys for finding these objects.

Key words: binaries:close – ISM:jets and outflows – black hole physics –radio continuum:stars – dark matter – cosmic rays

1 INTRODUCTION

It is generally believed that about $10^8 - 10^9$ black holes of $\sim 10M_\odot$ exist in the Milky Way (Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983; van den Heuvel 1992; Samland 1998). On the other hand, only ~ 20 strong black hole candidates are known. Most of these are black holes in X-ray binary systems, where the black holes are first detected through their X-ray emission, and their masses are estimated through spectroscopic measurements of their rotational velocities (see McClintock & Remillard 2005 and references within) while a smaller fraction of these systems have been detected as the lenses in microlensing events (Bennett 2001; Mao et al. 2002).

The population of black hole systems that accretes from a binary companion is likely to be a small fraction of the total number of black holes in the Galaxy, but due to the relative sensitivity of X-ray telescopes compared with microlensing surveys, our understanding of the Galaxy’s black hole distribution is dominated by the black holes in X-ray binaries. This has prompted several groups to suggest means for identifying isolated black holes through other means (see e.g. Fujita et al. 1998; Armitage & Natarajan 1999; Agol & Kamionkowski 2002 and references within). Furthermore, the microlensing surveys conducted to date are not sensitive to intermediate mass black holes. In this paper, we apply recent advances in our understanding of accretion and jet production in low luminosity systems. We show that isolated black holes accreting from the interstellar medium, and faint quiescent X-ray binaries accreting from donor stars, are likely to be discovered in planned radio surveys. We show that if a substantial number of intermediate mass black holes exist in the Galaxy, they should be even easier to detect in the radio than stellar mass black holes will be. Finally, we consider the possibility that these systems will contribute significantly to the kinetic power and the cosmic ray luminosity of the Galaxy.

2 OBSERVATIONAL APPEARANCE OF FAINT ACCRETING BLACK HOLES

In the few years that have passed since the last attempts to predict how one might best go about looking for the lowest luminosity black hole accretors, several advances have been made in our understanding of the observational appearances of such systems. Most specifically, our understanding of the relationship between the radio and X-ray fluxes of accreting black holes has changed rapidly. Hannikainen et al. (1998) first showed that there was likely to be a positive correlation between X-ray and radio flux in several measurements of the variable X-ray binary, GX 339-4. Corbel et al. (2000) verified this result and finding that $L_R \propto L_X^{0.7}$, where L_R is the radio luminosity and L_X is the X-ray luminosity. Gallo, Fender & Pooley (2003) showed that this relationship applied universally to X-ray binaries when in the low/hard spectral state, which is typically found below about 2% of the Eddington limit (Maccarone 2003) and occasionally up to about 10% of the Eddington ratio due to hysteresis effects (Maccarone & Coppi 2003), and which shows an X-ray spectrum dominated by a hard power law with a cutoff at a few hundred keV (see McClintock & Remillard 2005 for a discussion of spectral state nomenclature). It was then found that active galactic nuclei (AGN) also follow this relationship between radio and X-ray luminosities, with an additional dependence on the mass of the black hole, such that $L_X \propto M_{BH}^{0.8}$ (Merloni, Heinz & DiMatteo 2003; Falcke, K rding & Markoff 2004), which is likely to be the result of the difference in the size of the photospheres of black holes of different masses (see e.g. Falcke & Biermann 1996; Heinz & Sunyaev 2003).

A secondary consequence of the $L_R \propto L_X^{0.7}$ relationship is that the kinetic power of the jets takes up an increasing fraction of the total accretion power as the luminosity of a system decreases. Fender, Gallo & Jonker (2003) showed that this relationship can be

explained if the kinetic power taken away by the jet goes as $L_X^{0.5}$, which in turn requires that L_X goes as the square of the mass accretion rate at low luminosities, because the kinetic power put into the jet dominates the total accretion power. From these relationships, it becomes clear that the fainter and more massive a black hole, the higher the ratio of its radio flux to its X-ray flux. It was thus shown in Maccarone (2004) that the best means to search for intermediate mass black holes in globular clusters is radio, rather than X-ray emission, and the upper limits on the mass of the central black hole in M 15 are a factor of about 20 better with a 6.5 hour observation by the VLA than with a 3 hour observation with the *Chandra* X-ray Observatory. We note further that instrumentation which should produce an order of magnitude improvement in radio sensitivity is coming in the next few years (with LOFAR and the EVLA), but no improvements to X-ray sensitivity are likely for decades (since the next generation X-ray telescopes, Constellation-X and XEUS are not likely to have the angular resolution to resolve out the faintest sources in the cores of globular clusters).

Blind searches for faint (i.e. isolated or quiescent) black holes will benefit from searches in the radio, as well. Radio searches will have better sensitivity than X-ray searches, and perhaps more importantly, upcoming radio instrumentation like LOFAR (the Low Frequency Array, see e.g. Röttgering 2003 or <http://www.lofar.org> for a description) will have a dramatically wider field of view than current or planned high sensitivity X-ray instrumentation. Agol & Kamionkowski (2002) noted that despite the fact that the brightest accreting isolated black holes should be found in molecular clouds, where the interstellar medium is densest, X-ray searches for such sources would be rather inefficient since it would take too many *Chandra* pointings to cover a single nearby ~ 10 degree large Giant Molecular Cloud. These angular scales are well-matched, though to the field of view of LOFAR, so deep LOFAR observations would be a very effective means of searching for isolated black holes.

3 ACCRETION MECHANISM AND EFFICIENCY

AK02 assumed that accretion would proceed by the Bondi-Hoyle mechanism (Bondi & Hoyle 1944), so that $\dot{M} = \lambda 4\pi G^2 M^2 \rho / (v^2 + c_s^2)^{3/2}$, where $\dot{M}$ is the mass accretion rate, λ is a dimensionless parameter of order unity, G is the gravitational constant, M is the mass of the accretor, ρ is the gas density, v is the relative velocity of the accretor and the gas, and c_s is the sound speed of the gas. More recent work from a variety of sources – numerical simulations, observations of faint AGN and the lack of detection of large numbers of isolated neutron stars – has been compiled by Perna et al. (2003), and suggests that the dimensionless constant λ is about 10^{-2} to 10^{-3} . A more comprehensive study of active galactic nuclei only found that λ varies from AGN to AGN, over the range of 0.1 to 0.001, with 0.01 a typical value (Pellegrini 2005). For the purposes of this paper we will make the most conservative estimate, that $\lambda = 0.001$, in order not to overpredict the number of faint sources we expect to see. Unless otherwise noted, we will assume for the purposes of this paper that the black holes' space velocities are small enough that the Bondi rate is dominated by the temperature of the accreted gas.

Next one must estimate the efficiency at turning accreted gas into X-rays, radio emission, and kinetic power. AK02 simply chose an accretion efficiency $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$, where the luminosity, $L_X = \epsilon \dot{M} c^2$. On the other hand, given the results of Fender, Gallo & Jonker (2003) described above, it seems that a more appropriate radiative efficiency is $0.1 \left(\frac{\dot{m}}{0.02} \right)$, where $\dot{m}$ is the accretion rate in

Eddington units assuming $\epsilon = 0.1$. This corresponds to the case of equal power coming out in X-rays and jet kinetic power at the transition between hard and soft spectral states, which is intermediate between the most conservative (Fender et al. 2003) and most extreme (Malzac, Merloni & Fabian 2004) estimates to date.

4 FLUX AND SOURCE NUMBER PREDICTIONS

4.1 Stellar mass isolated accreting black holes

We will consider the typical appearance of stellar mass isolated black holes accreting from the different phases of the interstellar medium. Obviously, the accretion rate will be the highest in the coolest, densest phases of the ISM – molecular gas, and the cold neutral medium, and will be the lowest in the hottest, most tenuous regions. While most of the volume of the Milky Way is in the halo where the ISM is hot and sparse, black holes should have a disk-like distribution, albeit with a rather large scale height of about 1 kpc (Jonker & Nelemans 2004). This scale height is larger than what was found in previous studies (e.g. van Paradijs & White 1996), primarily because Jonker & Nelemans (2004) found that the distances, and hence the heights above the Galactic plane, for many black hole X-ray binaries had been systematically underestimated. AK02 argued that the best assumption about the spatial distribution of the isolated black holes would be that they follow the same distribution as the black holes in binaries, but we note that this is likely to overestimate the number of detectable black holes, because some of the black holes in X-ray binaries show evidence of velocity kicks (e.g. Orosz & Bailyn 1997; Mirabel et al. 2001). Furthermore, the strongest velocity kicks are most likely to unbind a binary system, and would hence give the isolated black holes a larger scale height than the black holes in binaries. While a detailed population synthesis for isolated black holes is beyond the scope of this paper, we make this point as a note to others who might attempt work similar to AK02 in the future.

The predicted radio emission falls off less sharply with decreasing mass accretion rate than the predicted X-ray emission. As a result, a very nearby, very faint isolated black hole should be more easily detected in the radio than in the X-rays. We also note that the effects of interstellar extinction on the detectability of a source in the X-rays were underestimated by AK02. While their statement that only about one-third of the flux from a source within a molecular cloud will be absorbed within the 1-10 keV *Chandra* band, given a typical column density of 10^{22} is reasonable, what is actually important is the number of photons detected. About 60-80% of the photons will be absorbed (with the lower end of the range for ACIS-I which has a relatively hard response, and the higher end of the range for ACIS-S), meaning that exposure times an order of magnitude longer will be needed to reach the same sensitivity in a molecular cloud as is needed for a low absorption column line of sight.

We summarize our assumptions: (1) $\dot{M} = 0.001 \dot{M}_{\text{Bondi}}$, (2) $\epsilon = 0.1 \left(\frac{\dot{m}}{0.02} \right)$, (3) the radio/X-ray/mass relation shown in Maccarone (2004), derived based on the results of Merloni, Heinz & DiMatteo (2003), (4) a flat radio spectrum (i.e. such that the flux density $f_\nu \propto \nu^0$), (5) black hole spatial velocities small enough such that the temperature of the gas, rather than the velocity of the black hole relative to the ISM is dominates the $v^2 + c_s^2$ term in the Bondi formula (6) an ISM temperature of 10^4 K everywhere except in the hot ISM, since for black holes greater than about 7 solar masses, the Stromgren sphere of the X-ray source will be

larger than the Bondi radius of the black hole (7) black hole mass of $10M_{\odot}$. We then compute the distances to which sources should be detectable with a radio survey with a minimum flux level of $30 \mu\text{Jy}$, which should be achievable at 200 MHz with a 10 hour LOFAR integration. In the dense parts of molecular clouds (i.e. with a $n_H = 10^5$), black holes should be observable in the radio out past 10 kpc, while in the sparsest parts of giant molecular clouds or the denser parts of the cold neutral ISM (i.e. with a $n_H = 10^3$), the black holes should still be observable out to about 700 pc. In the warm neutral and warm ionized phases of the ISM (i.e. with a $n_H = 0.4$), stellar mass black holes would be observable only out to a few parsecs, and these sources should be undetectable in the hot ionized medium - they should be several orders of magnitude fainter than the sources in the warm interstellar medium; any such source which would be detectable would be at distances of order the size of the solar system, where the ISM is not in the hot ionized phase and where, in any event, the gravitational effects of such a system, as well as the effects of the ionizing radiation of its progenitor would likely already be well known. Thus taking a 20 kpc Galactic disk with a 1 kpc height for the 10^8 black holes, and making the approximation that the black holes are uniformly distributed within this volume, we find a density of about 10^5 BH/kpc^3 . It is thus unlikely that even an all-sky radio survey will detect any isolated black holes in the warm or hot phases of the ISM. On the other hand, since about 5% of the volume of the Galactic disk is in molecular or cold neutral form, and about 3×10^{-4} of the disk is within 700 pc, about $1.5 \times 10^{-5} N_{BH}$ would be detected in an all-sky survey capable of detecting $30 \mu\text{Jy}$ sources, where N_{BH} is the number of black holes in the Galaxy. Since LOFAR will be able to see only about 1/4 of the sky, we can expect ~ 400 isolated black holes to be detectable by LOFAR. On the other hand, the FIRST and NVSS surveys, which go down only to $\sim 1 - 3 \text{ mJy}$ levels, should be able to detect only about 1/1000 as many sources as the LOFAR survey, and hence it is not surprising that no isolated black holes have been claimed from FIRST. The LOFAR predictions are presented in Table 1.

4.2 Isolated intermediate mass black holes

Several different scenarios have been proposed for the distribution of intermediate mass black holes in the galaxy. Schneider et al. (2002) discussed the possibility that a large fraction of the baryonic dark matter in the Universe might be ~ 200 solar mass black holes formed as the remnants of Population III stars (see Bromm, Coppi & Larson 1999 for a discussion of the masses of Population III stars and Fryer, Woosley & Heger 2001 for a discussion of the resulting black hole masses), and suggested that some of the non-nuclear bright X-ray sources seen in other galaxies might be these black holes accreting from the ISM. Maccarone, Kundu & Zepf (2003) pointed out that even given Bondi-Hoyle accretion rates and radiatively efficient accretion, such sources would be observable in other galaxies only if they were in molecular clouds in contrast to some claims that the brightest X-ray sources, even in some elliptical galaxies, might be these IMBHs. Recently, Zhao & Silk (2005) proposed that there should be $\sim 10^3 - 10^4$ mini-halos in the Milky Way, each with central black holes of $\sim 10^3 - 10^4 M_{\odot}$. They found that these systems would be rather difficult to detect on the basis of their X-ray emission, but did not consider their detectability in the radio.

The cosmological mechanisms for forming intermediate mass black holes typically result in the black holes' being distributed in a halo, which reduces by a factor of ~ 10 the chance that they will be

within the disk, and also increases their velocity dispersion by a factor of ~ 10 , hence reducing their typical luminosities by a factor of ~ 1000 even when they are within the disk. Some means have been suggested to create intermediate mass black holes in a disk population; for example, the simulations of Portegies Zwart & McMillan (2002) and of Gürkan et al. (2004) show that intermediate mass black holes can result from runaway collisions of massive stars in dense star clusters, which create a supermassive star which in turn collapses into an intermediate mass black hole on a timescale of a few Myrs after the star cluster has formed.

For intermediate mass black holes, the radio band should, indeed, be a much more efficient means of detecting sources than the X-rays are. That intermediate mass or higher black holes accreting at low luminosities should be more easily detected in the radio than in the X-rays is a point already made in Maccarone (2004). In Maccarone, Fender & Tzioumis (2005), it was estimated that the dependence on the radio luminosity on the black hole mass, for a given interstellar medium density and temperature, was roughly $L_R \propto M_{BH}^{3.2}$. A factor of 25 change in black hole mass from stellar mass black holes to the black holes expected from Population III star remnants should result in a factor of about 30000 change in the radio luminosity. In the dense parts of a molecular cloud, such a source would reach a luminosity of $10^{37} - 10^{38} \text{ ergs/sec}$ in the X-rays, and a radio flux density of about 150 mJy for a distance out to 10 kpc; such sources would already be known in nearly any of the Milky Way's molecular clouds, and would be detectable throughout the Local Group. Even in the less dense parts of a molecular cloud, these sources would appear in the NVSS and FIRST catalogs out to about 5 kpc, though they would be only at luminosities of about 10^{34} ergs/sec in the X-rays. The fact that no excess of unusual radio sources has been reported from NVSS measurements of molecular clouds would seem to indicate that less than about 100 IMBHs exist in a disk population in the Milky Way. LOFAR would be expected to see such systems in any part of the Galaxy's molecular or neutral ISM it observes (i.e. out to about 40 kpc), or in the warm neutral ISM within about 500 pc.

The detection of a halo distribution of sources would be considerably harder, primarily because the halo sources would be moving with much higher velocities with respect to the Galactic disk. Assuming a typical velocity of 100 km/sec, and a black hole mass of $2600 M_{\odot}$ (as in e.g. Zhao & Silk 2005), we find that these systems should still be observable with LOFAR (i.e. above $30 \mu\text{Jy}$) in the radio out to about 15 kpc, if in the molecular or cold neutral part of the ISM. We expect these objects to be about 10 times fainter in radio than slower, smaller black holes in similar environments at similar distances. On the other hand, we expect them to be about 10^3 times fainter in the X-rays than the similar smaller, slower black holes, and hence to be undetectable in X-rays except in the nearest clouds and even then only with rather long *Chandra* observations. With FIRST, such sources would be seen out to only about 1 kpc, in molecular clouds, so the current lack of detections is not surprising. With LOFAR, the expect number of sources seen would be about $\frac{1}{4} \times 3000 \times \frac{1}{100}$, or about 8, since about 1/4 of the Galaxy is observable, and about 1% of the volume of the galaxy is in the cold dense phase and Zhao & Silk (2005) estimated about 3000 IMBHs. This prediction is presented as the last entry in Table 1.

4.3 Quiescent accreting black hole systems

Calculations of the expected number of black hole low mass X-ray binaries are quite sensitive to many as yet not well known param-

eters, including, but not limited to the velocity kick distribution of black holes, the initial stellar mass function, the binary fraction, the initial distribution function of binary periods, the distribution function of mass ratios of stars in binaries, the details of common envelope evolution and the details of binary stellar evolution (see e.g. the population synthesis codes described in Portegies Zwart & Verbunt 1996; Belczynski, Kalogera & Bulik 2002; Pfahl, Rappaport & Podsiadlowski 2003). Given all these unknowns, along with the possibility that the physics in the codes might be incomplete, any additional observational constraints on the number of these sources would be useful. Typical numbers of low mass black hole binaries predicted are a ~ 3000 (see e.g. Portegies Zwart, Verbunt & Ergma 1997), to a ~ 30000 (Romani 1992), which would mean that the kinetic energy input from quiescent systems may rival that of outbursting systems. Additional low luminosity systems might exist in the form of faint wind-fed black holes (Belczynski & Taam 2004), but the number of such sources are quite uncertain.

At the present time, there are no black holes in binaries that are known to exist except those which have been detected through their X-ray emission. The last serious attempt to find such objects was an attempt to measure the masses of binary companions in normal binary star systems that appeared to have massive counterparts, and failed to establish the presence of compact objects in these systems (Trimble & Thorne 1969). The typical luminosity of a quiescent black hole X-ray binary in the X-rays is 10^{32} ergs/sec, based on making a factor of 5 bolometric correction (as established in Portegies Zwart, Dewi & Maccarone 2004) from the median 2×10^{31} ergs/sec found in the Chandra bandpass (Tomsick et al. 2003). The ROSAT All-sky survey was capable of detecting *unabsorbed* quiescent black holes out to about 1 kpc, but we hasten to note that ROSAT's sensitivity falls off sharply in the Galactic plane, where these objects are typically found.

Several more of these sources might be detected in the radio than have already been detected in X-rays, as well. Quiescent black hole binaries should be detectable at 5σ in one hour of LOFAR time at 200 MHz out to a distance of 500 pc. It should be possible, too, though, for LOFAR to make much deeper all-sky surveys than an hour on each field of view. Assuming the same $30 \mu\text{Jy}$ limit as for the other types of sources, LOFAR should detect most of the quiescent black hole binaries in the Galaxy. Furthermore, LOFAR will have better angular resolution than ROSAT, allowing many more of the faint sources to be associated with a unique optical counterpart. Finally, quiescent X-ray binaries can show factor of ~ 10 variability in the radio (e.g. Hjellming et al. 2000), which would allow one to follow up only a fraction of the LOFAR sources. The ROSAT all-sky survey does not provide the kind of variability data that would be needed to make the follow-up more feasible.

5 CAVEATS, COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS WORK AND CONCLUSIONS

A few words of caution are warranted here. The velocities of the black holes may be a bit higher than we have estimated them to be, and as the Bondi accretion rate scales with the velocity of the black hole cubed, this can have a profound impact on the estimated fluxes in any waveband. On the other hand, we have made a conservative assumption about the fraction of the Bondi rate that is accreted, which should mitigate the effects of possibly underestimating the space velocities. If the typical value of λ is 0.01, as suggested by Pellegrini (2005), then we have underestimated the typical X-ray luminosities by a factor of about 100, and the typical radio lumi-

nosities by a factor of 25. This would lead to an increase in the expected number of sources by a factor of 25 (if we are already seeing sources out to the full scale height of the Galaxy) to 125 (if the maximum distance for sources to be observable is much less than the scale height of the Galaxy).

Regarding the effects of black hole mass, the relationship of Merloni et al. (2003) has considerable scatter for causes which are not clear; some suggestions have been measurement errors, beaming effects (Heinz & Merloni 2004), and effects of the spin of the black hole and spectral state of the accretion disk (Maccarone, Gallo & Fender 2003). On the other hand, for the stellar mass black holes, the relationship of Gallo et al. (2003) fits a rather tight correlation, so we can be reasonably confident that we have handled the stellar mass black hole sources correctly. Mass spread among stellar black holes is likely to increase the number of detectable sources, since increasing the spread in flux without changing the mean will increase the number in the high flux tail.

Most previous papers about the search for accreting isolated black holes have focused on how to detect these systems in the X-rays. Here we have focused primarily on how one might detect these systems in the radio, rather than in the X-rays for two reasons. The first is that previous studies have generally systematically overestimated the flux levels one would expect in the X-rays from isolated accreting black holes. AK02 made the most conservative predictions to date, assuming the full Bondi rate, but with a radiative efficiency of $10^{-5}c^2$, rather than the standard $0.1c^2$ used for radiatively efficient accretion. However, since the publication of AK02, it has become clear both that the Bondi rate overestimates the true accretion rate from the interstellar medium by 1-3 orders of magnitude (Perna et al. 2003; Pellegrini 2005). It has also become clear that the radiative efficiency factor drops with decreasing accretion rate, although this has been suggested for quite some time now (see e.g. Ichimaru 1977; Narayan & Yi 1994). As a result, our predicted X-ray luminosities from these systems are orders of magnitude lower than those predicted by AK02.

Radio surveys should be superior to X-ray surveys in searching for IMBHs for another reason. AK02 noted that while molecular clouds are clearly the locations where isolated black holes will be easiest to detect, surveying them would be nearly impossible due to the relatively small fields of view of existing sensitive X-ray telescopes like *Chandra* and *XMM*; to cover the typical 10 degree field of view of a nearby giant molecular cloud would require about 1000 pointings with *Chandra* or *XMM*, and to reach reasonable sensitivity limits would require at least 10 kiloseconds - that is to say that it would take about a month to cover a single molecular cloud effectively. Furthermore, they underestimated the effects of interstellar absorption on the sensitivity of these instruments because they computed the fraction of the energy flux absorbed, rather than the fraction of the photons absorbed, and the soft X-rays are, of course, preferentially absorbed. In fact, it would take about a year of Chandra time to do a good survey for isolated black holes in a single giant molecular cloud. Because of LOFAR's large field of view, a year of LOFAR time at 200 MHz is sufficient to observe the whole Northern sky for about 300 hours per field, which formally should be enough to reach a noise level of $2\mu\text{Jy}$, but which might approach to the confusion limit or other systematic limits.

We have shown that upcoming deep, wide-field radio surveys will be substantially more effective for finding isolated black holes than any other means which has been proposed to date. We have also discussed the kinetic and cosmic ray luminosities likely to come from these sources and other faint accreting black holes and

Phase/type	M_{BH}	n_H	T_{ISM}	N_{BH}	L_X	d_{radio}	N_{radio}
GMC Core	10	10^5	10^4	~ 1	5×10^{33}	12	~ 1
GMC/cold neutral	10	10^3	10^4	1.3×10^6	5×10^{29}	0.7	400
warm ISM	10	0.4	10^4	5×10^7	7×10^{22}	.005	0
hot ISM	10	0.01	10^6	5×10^7	5×10^{13}	10^{-5}	0
GMC/cold, fast halo IMBH	2600	10^3	10^4	30	8×10^{30}	15	10
IMBH/disk pop/cold ISM	260	10^3	10^4	*	8×10^{33}	40	*
IMBH/disk pop/GMC	260	10^5	10^4	*	8×10^{37}	800	*
IMBH/disk pop/warm ISM	260	0.4	10^4	*	1×10^{27}	0.5	*

Table 1. The calculated results for the types of black holes discussed in the text, assuming 10^8 total isolated black holes in the Galaxy and that 1/4 of the sky will be seen by LOFAR. The columns are: phase of the ISM and black hole type, mass of the black hole in $M_\odot$, n_H in cm^{-3} , temperature of the ISM in Kelvins, the number of black holes expected to be in that phase of the ISM, the predicted X-ray luminosity in ergs/sec, the distance in kpc at which the radio flux would be greater than $30 \mu\text{Jy}$, and the expected number of sources in that phase of the ISM within d_{radio} . The asterisks are in place of the numbers of existing and observable intermediate mass black holes with disk-like populations because there exists no prediction for how many of these objects should exist. Only the objects we expect not to detect are predicted to be fainter than the range where the Merloni et al. (2003) correlation has been shown to work.

how the Galactic cosmic ray and kinetic luminosities can be used to constrain the numbers of such sources.

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful for useful discussions with Chris Belczynski, Rob Fender, Ed van den Heuvel, Christian Kaiser, Simon Portegies Zwart, Michael Sipior, Ben Stappers, Ron Taam, Wing-Fai Thi, Ralph Wijers, Rudy Wijnands. I thank the referee Andrea Merloni for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

Agol E., Kamionkowski M., 2002, MNRAS, 334, 553
 Armitage P.J., Natarajan P., 1999, ApJ, 523, 7
 Belczynski K., Kalogera V., Bulik T., 2002, ApJ, 572, 407
 Belczynski K., Taam R.E., 2004, ApJ, 616, 1159
 Bennett D.P., Microlensing 2000: A New Era of Microlensing Astrophysics, ASP Conference Proceedings, Vol. 239. Edited by J. W. Menzies and Penny D. Sackett. San Francisco: Astronomical Society of the Pacific
 Bondi, H., Hoyle, F., 1944, MNRAS, 104, 273
 Bromm V., Coppi P.S., Larson R.B., 1999, ApJ, 527, 5L
 Corbel S., Fender R.P., Tzioumis A.K., Nowak M., McIntyre V., Durouchoux P., Sood R., 2000, A&A, 359, 251
 Falcke H., Biermann P.L., 1996, A&A, 308, 321
 Falcke H., Körding E., Markoff S., 2004, A&A, 414, 895
 Fender, R.P., Gallo, E., Jonker P.G., 2003, MNRAS, 343, 99L
 Fryer C.L., Kalogera V., 2001, ApJ, 554, 548
 Fryer C.L., Woosley S.E., Heger A., 2001, ApJ, 550, 372
 Fujita Y., Inoue S., Manmoto T., Nakamura K.E., 1998, ApJ, 495, L85
 Gallo, E., Fender, R.P. & Pooley, G.G., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 60
 Gürkan M.A., Freitag M. & Rasio F.A., 2004, ApJ, 604, 632
 Hannikainen D.C., Hunstead R.W., Campbell-Wilson D., Sood R.K., 1998, A&A, 337, 460
 Heinz S., Merloni A., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 1L
 Heinz, S., Sunyaev, R.A., 2003, MNRAS, 343L, 59
 Narayan R., Yi I., 1994, ApJ, 428, 13L
 Maccarone T.J., 2003, A&A, 409, 697
 Maccarone T.J., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 1049
 Maccarone T.J., Coppi P.S., 2003, MNRAS, 338, 189
 Maccarone T.J., Fender R.P., Tzioumis A.K., 2005, MNRAS, 356, 17L
 Maccarone T.J., Gallo E., Fender R., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 19L
 Maccarone T.J., Kundu A., Zepf S.E., 2003, ApJ, 586, 814
 Malzac J., Merloni A., Fabian A.C., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 253
 Mao S., et al., 2002, MNRAS, 329, 349

McClintock J.E., Remillard R.A., 2005, to appear in *Compact Stellar X-ray Sources*, Cambridge University Press, W.H.G. Lewin, M. van der Klis, editors
 Merloni A., Heinz S., Di Matteo T., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 1057
 Mirabel I.F., Dhawan V., Mignani R.P., Rodrigues I., Guglielmetti F., 2001, Nature, 413, 139
 Narayan R., Yi I., 1994, ApJ, 428, 13L
 Orosz J.A., Bailyn C.D., 1997, ApJ, 477, 876
 Pellegrini S., 2005, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/0502035)
 Perna R., Narayan R., Rybicki G., Stella L., Treves A., 2003, ApJ, 594, 936
 Pfahl E., Rappaport S., Podsiadlowski P., 2003, ApJ, 597, 1036
 Portegies Zwart S.F., Dewi J., Maccarone T., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 413
 Portegies Zwart S.F., McMillan S.L.W., 2002, ApJ, 576, 899
 Portegies Zwart S.F., Verbunt F., 1996, A&A, 309, 179
 Portegies Zwart S.F., Verbunt F., Ergma E., 1997, A&A, 321, 207
 Romani R.W., 1992, ApJ, 399, 621
 Röttgering H., 2003, NewAR, 47, 405
 Samland M., 1998, ApJ, 496, 155
 Shapiro S.L., Teukolsky S.A., 1983, Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars, Wiley-Interscience, New York
 Tomsick J.A. et al., 2003, ApJ, 597, 133L
 Trimble V. L., Thorne K.S., 1969, ApJ, 156, 1013
 van den Heuvel E.P.J., 1992, Environment Observation and Climate Modeling Through International Space Projects. ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk
 Zhao H.S., Silk J., 2005, PhysRevLet, submitted (astro-ph/0501625)